

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/597,326	ANDERSON, JERRY C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ula C Ruddock	1771	

All Participants:

(1) Ula C Ruddock.

(2) Jason Johnston.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Ula Ruddock

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant has agreed to cancel all non-elected claims as well as the claims not directed to a second barrier layer or texturized scrim yarns. Furthermore, Applicant has agreed to amend claim 16, the remaining independent claim, by adding that the film is "directly" adjacent to the scrim, by specifying that the film is polymeric, and by specifying the scrim comprises polyester yarns..